

Patent Litigation Settlement Agreements: How to Get the Best Deal For Your Company

Anne Cappella and Karen Ballack of Weil, Gotshal & Manges

Sarita Venkat of Cisco Systems, Inc.

© 2024 Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP. All rights reserved. These materials are the confidential work product of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP. Any reproduction or redistribution of these materials is expressly prohibited.

September 19, 2024



Patent Settlements

- Strategy and Negotiations
- Term Sheet
- Agreement Walk-Through
- Exhibits
- Strategic Considerations



Strategy and Negotiations

Patent Settlements – Strategy and Negotiations



- Evaluate risk
- Manage risk
- Considerations for reaching settlement
- Different parties
 - NPEs
 - Litigation funded cases
 - Competitors



Termsheet



5

Patent Settlements – Term Sheet

- Seek input from knowledgeable stakeholders
 - Litigators
 - Licensing team
 - Client strategy and roadmaps
 - Technology expertise
- Binding v. non-binding term sheet



Patent Settlement Agreement Walk-Through



Patent Settlements – Walk-Through

- Parties
- Recitals
- Definitions
- License Grant
- Covenants
- Releases
- Dismissals
- Other Terms



Patent Settlements – Parties

- Patent holders
 - NPEs have complex structures
 - Litigation funders
 - Competitors
 - Related individuals
- Future acquisitions
 - Accused infringer will want all acquisitions included
 - Patent holder will consider whether to include future acquisitions
 - or seek <u>exclusions</u> (e.g. market cap, product sales, types of products, prior product sales)
 - Seek antitrust advice if exclude companies (e.g., competitor)



Patent Settlements – Recitals

- Summarize purpose and intent of settlement in clear language
 - Used to interpret scope of agreement
- Specify
 - Litigations and claims subject to the settlement
 - Third party beneficiaries
 - Undisputed findings (e.g., certain products infringe)
 - Deny contested claims
 - No admission of infringement, validity, or liability
 - Payments do not reflect a reasonable royalty or FRAND rate



Patent Settlements – Definitions

- Potentially covered parties
 - Licensee, affiliates, and subsidiaries
 - Supply chain (e.g., manufacturer, suppliers, distributors, retailer)
 - Customers and end users
- Potentially covered products and services alternatives
 - All products and services used or sold; or
 - Accused products and services and/or natural evolutions along with prototypes, replacements, test units, internal use



Patent Settlements – Definitions (cont'd)

- Potentially covered patents
 - For standards or patents limited to technical field
 - Ensure technology definitions are accurate
 - Consider covering related technologies (e.g., all wireless standards)
 and future standards
 - Expressly include all family members
 - Multiple ways to define patent families
 - Defendants will want to consider including CIPs
 - Continuations may be impliedly licensed unless a clear indication of mutual intent to the contrary



Patent Settlements – License Grant

- Cover licensed products and services, and practicing methods and processes
- Have made rights
 - Implied, unless otherwise specified
 - Limit to products designed by accused parties
- Combinations
 - E.g., intended, specific, exclude
- Considerations
 - Carefully review sublicenses and rights flowing to affiliates, subsidiaries, and any future acquisitions
 - Exhaustion may not apply outside the US
 - Check for special requirements in foreign jurisdictions



Patent Settlements – Covenant Not To Sue

- Use CNTS if patent holder is unwilling or unable to grant license (e.g., co-ownership)
- Even if receiving a license, CNTS provides extra protection and may be easier to establish a breach
- Covered parties can include licensee, subsidiaries, and affiliates, along with supply chain, customers and end users
- Include threats as well as court or administrative proceedings
- Term of CNTS is six years after patent expiration

Patent Settlements – Covenant Not To Sue (cont'd)



- Considerations
 - Authorized future sales could exhaust in the US
 - Jurisdiction review as to whether CNTS runs with the patent
 - Include obligation to comply with CNTS in assignments, which provides cause of action if not honored



Patent Settlements – Releases

Scope

- E.g., direct and indirect infringement, claims in litigation, conduct prior to effective date, conduct of settlement negotiation, judgments, liabilities, allegations offer was not FRAND
- Exclude claims for breach of the settlement agreement
- Released entities can include licensee, subsidiaries, and affiliates, along with supply chain, customers, and end users
- Bind successors-in-interest or others with an interest in the covered patents



Patent Settlements – Releases (cont'd)

Considerations

- Releases need not be mutual or mirror each other
 - Some patent holders require releases to be subject to payment or other particular covenants
 - Accused infringer should make sure patent defenses are not released
- Exhaustion
 - Release of past liability alone may not retroactively authorize sales
- Doctrines may limit future claims



Patent Settlements – Standstill

- Avoid escalation
 - Cooling off period after contentious litigation
 - Use when nearing renewal / expiration of rights
- Tolling or non-tolling of damages
- Not limited to litigation
 - Patent challenges
 - Other forms of conflict (e.g., complaints to governmental agencies)



Patent Settlements – Dismissals

- District court
 - Voluntary dismissal by plaintiff if defendant has not answered or filed SJ
 - Assume without prejudice unless otherwise stated
 - Joint dismissal
 - Dismissal with prejudice acts as a final judgment on the merits
 - Dismissal without prejudice
 - Possibility of continued infringement by accused infringer
 - Maintain invalidity defenses for unasserted claims and new products
 - Conditional dismissal that retains defenses
 - Parties may jointly seek to vacate judgments



Patent Settlements – Dismissals (cont'd)

- Appeal
 - Invalidity finding
 - Collateral estoppel
- PTAB proceeding
- Foreign litigation, nullity and oppositions
 - Discuss effects of settlement with foreign counsel
 - Withdrawal or nonparticipation in nullity or opposition proceedings



Patent Settlements – Other Terms

Reps and Warranties

 Representations regarding business structure and whether litigation involves funding

Payment

- Check on payment timing and transmission logistics
- Types of payments and audit rights

Assignment

Acquisitions by competitors

Jurisdiction

Specialty courts (e.g. PTAB and ITC)



Patent Settlements – Other Terms (cont'd)

No challenge clause

- May not be enforceable in settlements
 - Limit to litigated patents
 - Not allowed in certain pharma cases
- Alternatives: notice period before filing, deterrents such as termination or fee reimbursement

Specific performance

- Confidentiality
- Covenants not to sue

Bankruptcy effect on patents and settlement



Exhibits



Patent Settlements – Exhibits

- Dismissals
- Patent Schedules
- Press Release
- Consent Judgment
- Joint Motions (e.g., Vacate Judgement)



Strategic Considerations



Strategic Consideration

- Draft to avoid common non-material breaches
- Trending settlement terms
- Alternative compensation terms
- Side letters
- Complications with indemnity or multiple vendors



Presenters



KAREN BALLACK
Partner, Technology & IP
Transactions, Silicon Valley
+1 (650) 802-3120
karen.ballack@weil.com



ANNE CAPPELLA
Partner, Patent Litigation,
Silicon Valley
+1 (650) 802-3141
anne.cappella@weil.com



SARITA VENKAT
Vice President, Deputy
General Counsel of Global
Litigation and Competition,
Cisco Systems, Inc.