Association of Corporate Counsel

Presentation:

February 10, 2022

David H. Conaway - Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, LLP

Chapter 11 Under Fire: Forum Shopping Third Party Releases and the Texas 2-Step

BANKRUPTCY REFORM/THIRD-PARTY RELEASES

- Bankruptcy Venue Reform Act of 2021
 - Senators Warren (D-MA) and Cornyn (R-TX)
 - Bipartisan bill
 - Chapter 11 filings required in home state or where the largest assets are located
- Why the call for Reform?

- Hearing before the Committee on the Judiciary Subcommittee on Antitrust,
 Commercial, and Administrative Law U.S. House of Representatives
 - Oversight of the Bankruptcy Code, Part 1: Confronting Abuses of the Chapter 11 System
- Witnesses
 - Professor Adam Levitin Georgetown Law
 - William Tong Connecticut Attorney General (Purdue Pharma)
 - Tasha Moser Olympic Gymnast Sex Abuse Survivor (USA Gymnastics)
 - Alexis Pleus Founder Truth Pharma (Purdue Pharma)
 - Douglas Baird Professor Chicago Law School
 - David Skeel Professor Penn Law School

- Levitin Testimony
 - Judge Picking
 - 2020 57% of large, public company Chapter 11 cases before 3 of the U.S.'s 375 bankruptcy judges:
 - Judge Robert Drain Southern District of New York
 - Judge David Jones Southern District of Texas
 - Judge Marvin Isgur Southern District of Texas

- Jones/Isgur Texas Case Assignment Procedure
- Big Law anecdote
- Judge Drain Purdue Pharma
- 91% of "mega clauses" assigned to Delaware, S.D.N.Y., S.D. Texas, Houston Division and E.D. Virginia, Richmond Division
- Third-Party Releases

- Third-Party Releases Chapter 11
 - What is a Third-Party Release?
 - Why Do you Care?
 - Domtar Appvion (DE)
 - Claims against non-debtor entities
 - Officers and directors
 - Lender (Rexam)
 - D&O insurance
 - Foreign or domestic affiliate

- Mass Tort Cases
 - Channeling Injunctions
- Non-Tort Cases
- Legal issues regarding third-party releases

- Purdue Pharma (PP)
 - Amount of opioid claims ?
 - PP \$10 billion settlement fund
 - Sackler family \$4.275 billion
 - Paid over 10 years
 - Tax deduction
 - \$13 billion in dividends
 - Opioid victims estimated to receive \$3,500 \$48,000 per claim
 - September 17, 2021 Judge Drain confirms Plan with Sackler releases
 - December 16, 2021, District Court Judge Colleen McMahon S.D.N.Y. vacated Confirmation Order – for lack of any statutory authority
 - January 27, 2022 2nd Circuit Court of Appeals accepted appeal of McMahon's ruling

TEXAS 2-STEP

- Texas 2-Step
 - Precipitated by "mass tort claims" cases including Johnson & Johnson Baby Powder Claims
 - Strategy isolate talc claims in a newly formed SPE
 - Texas law allows for "divisive mergers"
 - Company with liabilities transforms to a Texas entity
 - Divisive merger splits into 2 companies
 - One company receives assets
 - Other company receives tort liabilities
 - "Torted" company files Chapter 11
 - Texas statute provide divisive merger is not a transfer of assets i.e. **fraudulent conveyance**

- Texas 2-Step at Coyote Joe's
 - W.D.N.C. Bankruptcy Court is/was a hub of Texas 2-Step Cases
 - Georgia Pacific asbestos claims
 - Certain Teed asbestos claims
 - DBMP case (asbestos claims)
 - Judge Whitley criticized and questioned whether the Texas divisional merger statute insulated transfer from fraudulent conveyances

- Johnson & Johnson
 - Transforms to a Texas entity
 - Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc. divisive merger creates two companies
 - Non-Debtor LLC received J&J assets
 - Debtor LLC received J&J liabilities
 - Changed name to LTL Management LLC
 - Filed Chapter 11 W.D.N.C. Charlotte Judge Craig Whitley
 - February 4, 2022 Reuters Article (attached)
 - J&J lost TRO motion to prevent publication

- Challenges to Chapter 11
 - Dismissal for bad faith filing
 - Venue
 - Fraudulent transfer
- Judge Whitley quote:

"the Texas 2-Step strategy is either brilliant or manifestly unfair"

- Whitley transferred venue to D. N.J.
 - Talc claimants filed Motions to Dismiss for bad faith filing
 - Numerous Amicus Curiae briefs
 - Dean Erwin Chemerinsky (UC Berkeley Law)
 - Texas 2-Step is "Direct Attack" on the "Integrity" of the Bankruptcy System
 - Fundamental Constitutional law issues: lack of due process, no trial by jury

RECENT NEWS

- Judge Drain
 - September 28, 2021 S.D.N.Y. announces "Drain's retirement effective June 30, 2022
- Mahwah Bergen Retail Group, Inc. Chapter 11 E.D. Va., Richmond Division
 - A Judicial Smackdown

CONSULTANTS IN CHAPTER 11

- Jay Alix v. McKinsey & Co., Inc., et al.
 - Federal RICO and conspiracy claims
 - Pay-to-play in Chapter 11 cases
 - Allegations
 - McKinsey filed false disclosure statements in Chapter 11 cases re conflicts and disinterestedness
 - Lucrative consulting assignments
 - Meetings with McKinsey clients and law firms in exchange for exclusive bankruptcy assignments
 - McKinsey bribed Alex to drop claims for assignments

- McKinsey, FTI Consulting, Alvarez and Alix control the market
 - February 4, 2022 2nd Circuit vacated District Court ruling dismissing Alix's RICO and conspiracy claims
 - 2nd Circuit:

"...we hold that the pay-to-play allegations plausibly allege RICO proximate causation"

- Chapter 11 Post-Script
 - Primary tool for financially distressed companies
 - Reorganize
 - Going concern sales
 - Add economic value
 - Lehman Brothers General Motors Enron

MF Global

Chrysler

US Steel

American Airlines

Delta

The list goes on

- Key concepts
 - Automatic stay
 - Efficient resolution key contracts
 - Fast sale of assets
 - Clear rules on priority of payment
 - Cram down dissenting creditors
 - Fresh start
- Bankruptcy is both a substantial law and a uniquely efficient forum to resolve a myriad of business and legal issues.

- Chapter 11 is a key U.S. Legal Export
 - EU countries adopting "Chapter 11"
 - They hate to love us
- Lehman Brothers and due process
- Take away: Chapter 11 cases are over day 1
- Suppliers with Material Involvement engage early

Recent Articles

- Executive Summary: Need to Know Bankruptcy Concepts
- Over the Hills and Far Away, Eurofenix, Winter 2021/22
- Chapter 11 Under Fire: U.S. Congress Seeks to End Forum Shopping, Business Credit Journal, January/February 2022
- A "Black Swan Event": New York Federal Court Rules that Lenders are Entitled to Keep \$500 Million Mistakenly Paid by Citibank
- <u>Citi Has Options, but Faces Hurdles to Retrieving \$500 Million Revlon Goof, Wall</u>
 <u>Street Journal</u>, February 24, 2021. Note Conaway WSJ quote.
- Do Prohibitions Against Ipso Facto Clauses Push Suppliers in the Insolvency Abyss?

Thank you for your attendance.

```
David Conaway dconaway@shumaker.com 704.945.2149

Manufacturing Customers Vendors Supply Chain Financial

Insolvency Litigation Commercial and Financial Contracts Cross-Border
```

REUTERS*

đ

February 4, 2022 - 3:19 PM EST Last Updated 2 days ago

Healthcare & Pharmaceuticals

Special Report: Inside J&J's secret plan to cap litigation payouts to cancer victims

By Mike Spector and Dan Levine

7 minute read

9/1

A bottle of Johnson and Johnson Baby Powder is seen in a photo illustration taken in New York, February 24, 2016. REUTERS/Mike Segar/Illustration/File Photo



J&J's covert "Project Plato" team crafted strategy to redirect cancer plaintiffs out of trial courts and into bankruptcy process

J&J documents show how it planned "Texas two-step" maneuver to limit payouts for talc claims

U.S. judge to weigh whether bankruptcy was filed in bad faith

J&J executive asked whether maneuver would affect company's credit rating, documents show

NEW YORK, Feb 4 (Reuters) - Johnson & Johnson created a plan last year to limit the financial Powder and other talc products caused deadly cancers. The healthcare and consumer-goods bleeding from billions of dollars in jury awards to plaintiffs who alleged the company's Baby giant assigned more than 30 staffers to "Project Plato." In a memo on the project in July, a company lawyer warned the team: Tell no one, not even your spouse. "It is critical that any activities related to Project Plato, including the mere fact the project exists, be kept in strict confidence," Chris Andrew, a J&J lawyer, wrote in an internal memo reviewed by Reuters.

bankruptcy. The goal, as a lawyer for the subsidiary said in a court filing: To halt all the litigation The covert team would go on to evaluate a strategy to shift all the liability from about 38,000 and transfer the cases to bankruptcy court, where plaintiffs would compete for compensation pending talc cases onto a newly created subsidiary, which would immediately declare from a limited pool of money.

Register now for FREE unlimited access to Reuters.com



nullified by the bankruptcy maneuver. The plaintiff in that case now may have to instead seek allegations that its products were unsafe in trial courts. The company was actively defending itself in talc trials, including one that would result in a \$27 million jury award that could be In court and in public statements last July, J&J (JNJ.N) said it intended to keep fighting the compensation through a bankruptcy process. Privately, J&J took concrete steps starting as early as April to consider and plan the bankruptcy reviewed by Reuters. The strategy seeks to ensure the pending cases never reach a jury and maneuver, according to internal company documents, depositions and other court records instead be handled in a bankruptcy court. The documents provide the most detailed account to date of how the New Jersey-based conglomerate strategized to limit compensation to tens of thousands of talc plaintiffs.

faced costs from \$3.5 billion in verdicts and settlements, including one in which 22 women were J&J in July. The company went ahead with the plan in October, officading responsibility for the Reuters exclusively reported the broad outlines of the bankruptcy strategy being explored by cases to the new subsidiary, which then filed for bankruptcy. Before the filing, the company awarded a judgment of more than \$2 billion, according to bankruptcy-court records

stream of royalty revenues valued at more than \$350 million at the time of the bankruptcy filing. compensate all 38,000 current plaintiffs, as well as all future claimants. J&J has said in court filings and in public statements that the subsidiary, LTL Management LLC, could also tap a Now, J&J proposes to give the subsidiary in bankruptcy \$2 billion to put into a trust to

J&J did not answer detailed written questions from Reuters about its planning of the bankruptcy maneuver. In a statement, J&J defended the LTL bankruptcy as a way to resolve the talc claims.

"This filing follows established process, and courts have uniformly acknowledged that equitably claimants through a plan of reorganization and create a reasonable framework to address the process," the statement said. "LTL's objective is to reach a fair and equitable resolution for resolving these types of claims through Chapter II is a legitimate use of the restructuring unprecedented number of existing and future talc-related claims."

quickly and efficiently as possible is in the best interests of claimants and all stakeholders. We It continued: "We stand behind the safety of Johnson's Baby Powder, which is safe, does not contain asbestos and does not cause cancer. We continue to believe resolving this matter as will continue to follow the process and put forth our position in the court."

On Thursday, a Lawyer for the J&J subsidiary appeared at a bankruptcy hearing and accused confidential documents with Reuters in a "calculated" effort to try the case "in the press. attorneys for people who have sued Johnson & Johnson over its talc products of sharing

Later Thursday, Lawyers for J&J and its subsidiary sought a temporary restraining order from the bankruptcy judge to block Reuters from publishing information that, the company claims, comes from confidential documents.

A Reuters spokesperson called J&J's claims without merit.

continue to report without fear or favor on matters of public interest," the spokesperson said in a "We reject the factually-unfounded and legally-meritless claims made by J&J's lawyers and will statement on Thursday.

BANKRUPTCY BENEFITS WITHOUT BURDENS

court as early as April, when company attorneys were briefed on the strategy by lawyers at Jones J&J started secretly considering and planning the maneuver to redirect plaintiffs to bankruptry Day, a firm with experience in the tactic, according to deposition testimony from an LTL lawyer.

On July 19, the day after Reuters broke the news of the strategy, a J&J official contacted Moody's, pristine credit, according to emails reviewed by Reuters. She was told it likely wouldn't because the agency would only consider the maneuver's impact on the finances of J&J, and not those of the Wall Street ratings firm, to ask if the subsidiary bankruptcy would harm the company's the subsidiary in bankruptcy.

The exchange underscores why the strategy was so attractive: J&J could create a related-party bankruptcy to limit liability, while avoiding "the burdens" of declaring bankruptcy itself, seven legal experts argued in an amicus brief filed with the court.

Moody's declined to comment.

necessary" step that "offered the only alternative for equitably and permanently resolving" all In court papers, a lawyer for the J&J subsidiary said the bankruptcy filing was a "prudent and the talc litigation.

could pursue the bankruptcy plan, according to people familiar with the matter. At the time, J&J option. "Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc has not decided on any particular course of action in publicly downplayed concerns about the strategy and did not confirm that it was exploring the Last July, Reuters reported that one of J&J's attorneys told plaintiffs' Lawyers that the company this litigation other than to continue to defend the safety of talc and litigate these cases in the tort system, as the pending trials demonstrate," the company told Reuters at the time.

A few days later, in a California courtroom, a lawyer defending J&J against talc plaintiffs told a udge that news of the bankruptcy strategy amounted to unsubstantiated "rumors." J&J executed the bankruptcy plan starting on Oct. 11, taking the first steps to create the new subsidiary. The new company swiftly filed for Chapter 11, on Oct. 14.

'ALTERNATIVE JUSTICE SYSTEM'

The strategy, while rare, could be adopted more widely by big companies facing liability crises if Johnson & Johnson gets bankruptcy-court approval, according to lawyers for talc plaintiffs and some legal experts. If J&J succeeds, they argue, it could provide a blueprint for Corporate America on how to circumvent jury trials involving allegations of defective products or misconduct.

Such a precedent could allow companies to routinely pursue related-party bankruptcles to escape accountability from juries, said Melissa Jacoby, a University of North Carolina law professor. "That's one step closer to making bankruptcy an alternative justice system for big corporations," Jacoby said. "If a company as deeply pocketed as J&J can do this, where does it stop?"

and equitable process for compensating claimants. Johnson & Johnson has said it would provide outsized jury awards. A bankruptcy court, the lawyer argued, could provide a more consistent company pursued the strategy in reaction to an onslaught of litigation with the potential for In testimony last November, a Lawyer for the Johnson & Johnson subsidiary has said the a fair amount of money to the subsidiary to pay claims.

Johnson & Johnson, valued at more than \$450 billion, had about \$31 billion in cash and marketable securities on hand at the end of the third quarter, securities filings show. The New Jersey Judge overseeing the subsidiary's bankruptcy is scheduled on Feb. 14 to begin hearing arguments on plaintiff-creditors' contention that the bankruptcy should be dismissed because it was filed in bad faith.

claims would be directed to a trust, which would divvy up a limited amount of money through an said it will seek to "permanently" resolve the taic litigation through a reorganization plan that The October bankruptcy temporarily halted the litigation against Johnson & Johnson. LTL has would prohibit current and future plaintiffs from seeking redress in a trial court. Instead, their administrative process approved by the bankruptcy court.

TENS OF THOUSANDS OF PLAINTIFFS

J&J's bankruptcy strategy is the latest example of the company's efforts to manage liability amid December 2018 Reuters Investigation revealed that the company knew for decades about tests showing its talc sometimes contained carcinogenic asbestos but kept that information from mounting allegations that asbestos lurks in its iconic Baby Powder and other talc products. regulators and the public.

Tens of thousands of plaintiffs, many with mesothelioma or ovarian cancer, have filed lawsuits alleging that exposure to talc in J&J's Baby Powder and other company products made them sick. Records J&J produced in response to those lawsuits led plaintiff lawyers to refine their argument: The culprit wasn't necessarily talc itself, but also asbestos in the talc

insisted in lawsuits and public-relations campaigns that the product was safe and asbestos-free. is associated with ovarian and other cancers, has had mixed success in court. The company has That assertion, backed by decades of science showing that asbestos causes mesothelioma and

McHattie said he endured five courses of chemotherapy to treat tumors in his abdomen, and has gynecologist before receiving a mesothelloma diagnosis in March 2020. McHattle said he One plaintiff is Thomas McHattie, 78 years old, who traveled the world as an obstetricianrecommended Baby Powder to "countless pregnant women" while also using it himself. suffered from pronounced fatigue and shortness of breath.

He sued J&J in New York in July, a few months after receiving his diagnosis. His case had not yet gone to trial when LTL Management filed for bankruptcy.

In a 2020 court filing, J&J said It denied "each and every allegation, statement, matter and thing" asserted by McHattie in his lawsuit. McHattle told Reuters in an interview that he was "disappointed they've chosen to do what is expedient and not what is right."

"There is no excuse for them filling a bankruptcy," McHattle said. "Why? This is a solvent company."

RELEASED FROM LIABILITY

tactic of limiting liability through so-called nondebtor releases granted to companies, owners or receiving the release typically agrees to contribute a lump sum to the company in bankruptcy to executives. The releases can allow companies or executives to piggyback on the bankruptcies of other entities to obtain broad protection from lawsuits and restrict litigation payouts. The party J&J's subsidiary bankruptcy is one variation of a longstanding and increasingly controversial pay off plaintiffs in exchange for an exemption from all future liability.

That was the case with members of the Sackler family, the billionaire owners of Purdue Pharma addiction epidemic with its opioid painkiller, OxyContin. In a landmark decision in December, a U.S. district judge in New York invalidated Purdue's bankruptcy reorganization plan on the LP, which filed for bankruptcy as a hail of lawsuits alleged it had contributed to a deadly grounds that it improperly insulated the Sackler family from liability through nondebtor releases

felonies covering misconduct regarding its handling of opioids. Sackler family members, who Purdue has appealed the ruling. The company pleaded guilty in November 2020 to three also faced litigation, have denied allegations they contributed to the opioid crisis. J&J's bankruptcy takes the approach a step further. Instead of seeking releases from liability in that plaintiff-creditors allege has no business purpose other than to limit J&J's legal exposure. an existing bankruptcy proceeding, the company created a bankruptcy by forming a company

bankruptcy system, which is intended to help a struggling business reorganize – and not to help Lawyers for talc plaintiffs contend that the J&J maneuver amounts to an abuse of the a well-capitalized conglomerate limit legal liability for alleged wrongdoing.

lawyer representing a creditors' committee of talc plaintiffs during a December hearing of the "This case is all about litigation advantage" for J&J, said Robert Stark, a Brown Rudnick LLP subsidiary's bankruptcy. J&J successfully halted the claims by tens of thousands of plaintiffs "while people are dying of cancer" and trying to prepare their families financially for their deaths, Stark said at the hearing. "It does not get more inhumane than that," he said. The Purdue and J&J bankruptcy strategies have sparked efforts in the U.S. Congress to stop such that would all but outlaw the strategy J&J is using and restrict the ability of companies to obtain tactics. U.S. Senator Dick Durbin of Illinois is co-sponsoring legislation with other Democrats liability releases without declaring bankruptcy themselves.

"Our bankruptcy code and civil procedure has to be explored to make sure that this exploitation does not take place," Durbin said in an interview.

limited amounts for compensation are often criticized, they offer plaintiffs better odds of getting Business groups and some bankruptcy lawyers say that nondebtor releases can be an effective paid than if they take their chances in trial courts, said Donald Workman, a Baker & Hostetler tool to resolve litigation to the benefit of both plaintiffs and the companies they sue. While restructuring lawyer who isn't involved in the J&J subsidiary's case.

"You have an elegant solution to resolve burdensome if not crushing obligations," Workman said, that "provides funding for constituencies that might otherwise receive nothing."

TEXAS TWO-STEP

J&J turned to the bankruptcy plan following a series of setbacks.

Powder purchased online, forcing the company to Issue a recall in October 2019. In May 2020, The U.S. Food and Drug Administration found trace amounts of asbestos in a bottle of Baby the company stopped selling talc-based Baby Powder in the U.S. and Canada, citing "misinformation" and "unfounded allegations" regarding the product's safety. In April, J&J attorneys consulted with Jones Day lawyers, who explained how the company could absorb all the talc liability; the other would carry on the business free from the threat of billiondollar judgments. Texas pioneered the so-called divisional merger, which allows companies to use a Texas law to split the company's consumer-product business into two parts. One would break apart and more easily divvy up assets and liabilities among the resulting companies.

the maneuver in 2017 to offload mounting asbestos litigation. Georgia-Pacific faced allegations Jones Day helped Georgia -Pacific, a company owned by conglomerate Koch Industries, execute regarding asbestos exposure from building products that spanned decades.

asbestos liability. As the subsidiary declared bankruptcy, the "new" Georgia-Pacific continued to produce Brawny paper towels and other lucrative brands. The maneuver came to be known in Georgia-Pacific used the Texas law to create a new subsidiary called Bestwall to shoulder legal circles as a "Texas two-step."

Georgia-Pacific paid nearly \$3 billion in dividends to Koch over the next several years, according Georgia-Pacific has proposed giving Bestwall \$1 billion to settle all asbestos claims, an amount to a court filing, that it might have been unable to dole out had it filed for bankruptcy itself. plaintiff-creditors are still challenging in bankruptcy court.

Koch Industries and Georgia-Pacific declined to comment; Jones Day did not respond to a request for comment. When J&J needed help last year, it hired Dallas-based Jones Day partner Greg Cordon and other members of the firm's Georgia-Pacific legal team.

June of Last year, J&J lost a bid to reverse a watershed verdict in favor of 22 women who blamed verdict of \$4.69 billion from a Missouri jury. A state appeals court reduced the award to more As the bankruptcy planning moved forward, a major court defeat heightened the urgency. In their ovarian cancer on Baby Powder and other talc products. The women had initially won a than \$2 billion.

PROJECT PLATO

By July 12, the company had secretly set up the Project Plato team. The more than 30 employees staffing it came from J&J's finance, risk management, tax and business development operations, according to the internal J&J memo and deposition testimony.

A week later, J&J treasurer Michelle Ryan reached out to Moody's to get guidance on the impact to J&J's credit rating. "We are looking at a number of ways of capping our talc liability," Ryan said in a July 19 email to Michael Levesque, a senior vice president at the credit-ratings firm focused on pharmaceutical companies. One scenario under consideration, Ryan said, would be to "capture the liability in one subsidiary" and then "basically bankrupt that subsidiary." Ryan asked whether the bankruptcy would hurt the company's credit rating. J&J at the time was one of just two U.S. companies with a triple-A rating, the other being Microsoft.

Levesque replied that the "technical aspect" of the subsidiary bankruptcy wasn't likely to cause concern about J&J's creditworthiness. Rather, he said, Moody's was "highly likely" to focus on how the subsidiary's Chapter 11 filing affected J&J's finances, which the maneuver intended to

Ryan did not respond to a request for comment.

of transactions. That company then merged with J&J's existing consumer products business. The To execute the plan, J&J created a limited liability company on Oct. 11 in Texas through a series merged company then divided itself under the state's divisional merger law, creating the subsidiary that would take on all the talc liability.

The consumer business could then go on as if the lawsuits had never been filed.

GREEN LIGHT

executives. He asked them to approve the Texas two-step bankruptcy plan "as soon as possible" memo to the Project Plato team, sent an email to eight J&J colleagues, including several senior and no later than that day, according to Andrews' email to his colleagues, which was reviewed Early on the morning of Oct. 11, Andrew, the in-house J&J lawyer who initially sent the internal by Reuters. He attached a detailed memo outlining the impending bankruptcy's purported benefits. It would resolving all of the litigation, in a process enabling claims to be settled in an "equitable and efficient manner, without the waste and abuses experienced in the state court tort system." allow, the memo said, the bankruptcy court to determine the final amount of money for

would be scrutinized by the media. "Appropriate messaging (internally and externally) will be The memo warned of risks. The plan would be consummated under a tight time frame and required to avoid or mitigate misunderstandings about the nature of the restructuring and negative publicity," the memo said. Andrew quickly received the green light, within hours of the request, internal emails reviewed by Reuters show.

LTL, the new subsidiary, held its first board meeting on Oct. 14.

through the first nine-and-a-half months of 2021, according to meeting minutes and deposition testimony Reuters reviewed. The group noted that J&J faced a total of about \$5 billion in costs The board members and lawyers discussed that LTL faced what they viewed as "exorbitant" costs if the current talc litigation barrage continued, which included 12,000 lawsuits alone from judgments, settlements and legal fees.

The board voted to pursue a Chapter 11 filing. J&J disclosed the move in a news release that evening as one that would "equitably" resolve the litigation.

A plaintiffs' Lawyer grilled Robert Wuesthoff – a J&J manager appointed president of LTL Management – on that point in a Dec. 22 deposition. "One of the considerations was to treat claimants equitably; it was for their benefit? |s that what you're saying?" asked Jeffrey Jonas, a Brown Rudnick lawyer representing a creditors committee comprising talc plaintiffs.

"Yes, it would be more equitable to the claimant. Yes, we believe that," Wuesthoff responded.

growing amount of talc cases – some with "lottery-size" awards – put J&J's consumer products "But the real reason we filed for bankruptcy," the LTL executive said, was that the large and business in "financial distress."